## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH CARQUINARK, CHARLESTON, SC

) 2006 JUN 15 A 10: 52
) Civil Action No. 2:06-1492-SB
)
ORDER
)
)

This matter is before the Court on the <u>pro se</u> Plaintiff's complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On May 24, 2006, the Magistrate Judge issued a report analyzing the complaint and recommending that this action be dismissed without prejudice and without issuance or service of process, as it is identical to an already-pending action before the Court. <u>See</u> Civil Action No. 2:06-1288-SB-RSC.

Attached to the report and recommendation was a notice giving the Plaintiff ten days in which to file objections. Within the time specified, the Plaintiff submitted a motion to dismiss, indicating that he "willing[ly]" dismisses this action in full.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED that this action is dismissed without prejudice and without issuance or service of process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Capacity and Official Capacity

Defendant.

Joseph Franklin Clipse,

Sol Blatt, Jr. Senior United States District Judge

June //-\_\_, 2006 Charleston, S.C.

\*